IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND
AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 5698 (SS) of 2001
(old no. 34782/93)

Vikram Singh,
S/o Sri Johar Singh,
R/o Village-Bagga,
Chauwan,
P.O. – Newreya,
District – Pilibhit. **.....Petitioner.**

Versus

1. District Magistrate, Pithoragarh, District – Pithoragarh.

2. Sub Divisional Magistrate, Dedihat, District – Pithoragarh.

3. Tehsildar, Tehsi – Dedihat, District-Pithoragarh.

.....Respondents.

Hon'ble M.M. Ghildiyal, J.

Heard Sri Rajendra Dobhal, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri Paresh Tripathi, learned Brief Holder, for State of Uttarakhand on behalf of the respondents.

By means of this writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for the following reliefs:

a. Issue a writ order or direction in the nature of Certiorari quashing the order 13.08.1988 (annexure no. 6) to the writ petition passed by the respondent no. 1.

b. Issue any other writ / order / direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the present circumstances of the case.

c. Award the cost of writ petition to the petitioner.

Petitioner, who was working as Peon to Patwari, District - Pithoragarh, was removed from service vide order dated 13.08.1988. Order of removal was passed after holding inquiry.

Charge levelled against the petitioner relates to section 376 and 332 IPC in which was petitioner was convicted by the Sessions Judge. Against the conviction order, petitioner preferred appeal before High Court, which is still pending. In any case, the order of removal was passed after holding departmental inquiry.

Learned counsel for the petitioner could not show any ground to interfere with the impugned order. I do not find any illegality or irregularity in the findings recorded by the Inquiry Officer.

Writ Petition lacks of merit, and as such, is dismissed. All the pending applicants stands rejected. No order as to costs.

(M.M. Ghildiyal, J.)

June 8, 2007
SKSHARMA